

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,968	10/09/2003		Burton L. Hart	27726/94926	1844
23644	7590	04/05/2005		EXAM	INER
BARNES &	thor:	NBURG	ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
P.O. BOX 2	786				
CHICAGO,	CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				1761	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/683,968	HART, BURTON L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,14-21,23-29,31-37 and 39 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) 11-13,22,30 and 38 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection to the orection of the correction of the orection	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09 October 2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, 16-21, 24-29 and 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Webster et al.

There is disclosed in Webster a hot water dispensing apparatus for use with a beverage maker having a source of heated water, the hot water dispensing apparatus comprising: a housing (see figures 1-3) being removably attached to the beverage maker; a controllable valve 4 carried on the housing; and a water delivery line 48 associated with the housing, beverage maker and valve to dispense water from the maker through the valve. The valve (see figure 3) being removable from the housing by way of a rotatable connection. The housing is inherently removable from the beverage maker (see figure 2) by way of some type of connecting means.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 14, 15, 23, 31, 32 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webster et al. in view of Pandolfi.

Pandolfi discloses the use of fasteners (bolts) to connect a hot water dispensing housing to a beverage maker. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to connect the housing of Webster with fasteners, as disclosed in Pandolfi, in order to provide a secure fit thereto.

In regards to the use of brackets, such can be considered to be the equivalent of a fastener.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-13, 22, 30 and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Anderson is cited for its disclosure of the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/683,968 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla 04 April 2004 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761